

Model Order Reduction via System Balancing

Exercise 1 (Controllability of dynamical systems)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Show that the following statements are equivalent:

- the pair (A, B) is controllable
 (i.e. for all times $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_0 < t_1$, and states $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $u(t)$ such that the solution of the initial value problem $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$, $x(t_0) = x_0$, satisfies $x(t_1) = x_1$),
- the controllability matrix $\mathcal{C} = [B \quad AB \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1}B] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times nm}$ has full rank n ,
- the controllability Gramian

$$P(t) = \int_0^t e^{A\tau} B B^T e^{A^T \tau} d\tau$$

is positive definite for all $t > 0$.

Exercise 2 (The (infinite) controllability Gramian and a Lyapunov equation)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be stable and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Prove that

$$X = \int_0^{\infty} e^{At} Q e^{A^T t} dt$$

is the unique solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T + Q = 0.$$

Exercise 3 (Stability, controllability, and the Lyapunov equation)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Prove that, of the following three statements, any two imply the third:

- A is a stable matrix,
- the pair (A, B) is controllable,
- the Lyapunov equation $AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0$ has a positive definite solution P .

Exercise 4 (Properties of the matrix sign function)

For $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with no eigenvalues on the imaginary axis and a Jordan canonical form

$$Z = S \begin{bmatrix} J^+ & \\ & J^- \end{bmatrix} S^{-1},$$

where $J^+ \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ and $J^- \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$ respectively have eigenvalues in \mathbb{C}_+ and \mathbb{C}_- , we define the matrix sign function as

$$Z = S \begin{bmatrix} I_k & \\ & -I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} S^{-1}.$$

Show that:

- the matrix sign function is well-defined,
- $\text{sign}(T^{-1} Z T) = T^{-1} \text{sign}(Z) T$ for all nonsingular $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$,

- c) if Z is stable, then $\text{sign}(Z) = -I_n$ and $\text{sign}(-Z) = I_n$,
- d) $\text{sign}(Z)^2 = I_n$, i.e. $\text{sign}(Z)$ is a square root of the identity matrix,
- e) the Newton iteration $Z_0 = Z$, $Z_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2}(Z_i + Z_i^{-1})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is a Newton iteration applied to the function $F(X) = X^2 - I$.

Exercise 5 (Solving Sylvester equations via the matrix sign function)

Consider the Sylvester equation

$$AX + XB + C = 0, \tag{1}$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Assume that A and B are stable matrices and that X is the solution of the equation (1).

- a) Show that

$$\text{sign} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & 2X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hint: Compute $T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} T$, for $T = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix}$.

- b) Show that instead of iterating on $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix}$, one can compute X via an iteration on A, B, C .

Exercise 6 (Implementing a Lyapunov equation solver)

Our goal here is to implement a solver, using matrix sign function Newton iteration, for the Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T + W = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a stable matrix.

- a) Derive the iteration method

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A, & A_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (A_i + A_i^{-1}), \\ W_0 &= W, & W_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (W_i + A_i^{-1} W_i A_i^{-T}), \end{aligned}$$

for the equation (2) using the solution of the Exercise 5 b). Show that $A_i \rightarrow -I_n$ and $W_i \rightarrow 2X$.

- b) Implement a function `lyap_sgn`, applying the above iteration, with the matrices A and W , the maximum number of iterations `maxit`, and the tolerance `tol` for the stopping criterion $\|A_i + I_n\|_F < \text{tol}$ as inputs.
- c) Test your implementation on random examples by computing the relative error

$$\frac{\|AX + XA^T + W\|_F}{\|W\|_F}$$

and plotting how $\|A_i + I_n\|_F$ varies across iterations. Check if the approximate solution you find is symmetric (e.g. by computing $\|X - X^T\|_F$) for symmetric W .

Exercise 7 (Model reduction by balanced truncation)

Here we apply the balanced truncation method to the Clamped Beam model from the NICONET benchmark collection (you need to download `beam.mat` from [1]).

- a) Compute the controllability and observability Gramians by solving the Lyapunov equations

$$\begin{aligned} AP + PA^T + BB^T &= 0, \\ A^T Q + QA + C^T C &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

using the function `lyap_sgn` you implemented in the previous Exercise.

- b) Compute factorizations $P = S^T S$ and $Q = R^T R$.
- c) Compute the singular value decomposition $SR^T = U\Sigma V^T$.
- d) Plot the Hankel singular values.
- e) Find the reduced order model $(A_r, B_r, C_r) = (W_r^T A V_r, W_r^T B, C V_r)$, where

$$V_r = S^T U(:, 1:r) \Sigma(1:r, 1:r)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$W_r = R^T V(:, 1:r) \Sigma(1:r, 1:r)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

for some r .

- f) Draw the log-log plots of $\omega \mapsto |H(i\omega)|$ and $\omega \mapsto |H_r(i\omega)|$, where

$$H(s) = C(sI_n - A)^{-1} B,$$

$$H_r(s) = C_r(sI_r - A_r)^{-1} B_r,$$

are the transfer functions of the original and reduced model. Use 1000 logarithmically distributed sample points over the frequency interval $\omega \in [10^{-2}, 10^4]$.

- g) Draw the log-log plot of $\omega \mapsto |H(i\omega) - H_r(i\omega)|$, same as in f), with a horizontal line for the upper bound of the \mathcal{H}_∞ -error using Hankel singular values.

Exercise 8 (Balancing-free square root (BFSR) method)

For numerical reasons, the balancing-free square root (BFSR) algorithm is preferred to the method used in the previous Exercise. The difference is in the part e).

- a) Compute the projection matrices

$$V_r = P_1 \text{ and } W_r = Q_1 (P_1^T Q_1)^{-1},$$

where

$$S^T U_1 = P_1 \widehat{R} \text{ and } R^T V_1 = Q_1 \widetilde{R},$$

with $P_1, Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ orthogonal and $\widehat{R}, \widetilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ upper-triangular.

- b) Show that the reduced order system is equivalent to a balanced system and that it satisfies the same error bound as the one obtained by the standard square root balanced truncation method.

Exercise 9 (Low-rank Lyapunov equation solver)

It is possible to combine parts a) and b) in Exercise 7.

- a) For the Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T + BB^T = 0,$$

derive the iteration method

$$A_0 = A, \quad A_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} (A_i + A_i^{-1}),$$

$$B_0 = B, \quad B_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [B_i \quad A_i^{-1} B_i],$$

by setting $W_i = B_i B_i^T$ in Exercise 6 a).

- b) Since B_{i+1} has the twice the number of column as B_i , it is necessary to include column compression in the iterations. Implement a function `col_comp` that will perform this for an arbitrary matrix, using rank-revealing LQ decomposition or SVD, with specified error tolerance.
- c) Implement a Lyapunov equation solver `lyap_sgn_fac`, using the above iterations with column compression.

Exercise 10 (Solving algebraic Riccati equations via the matrix sign function)

Motivated by balancing-related methods such as LQG balanced truncation, let us consider the algebraic Riccati equation

$$AX + XA^T - XFX + G = 0,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $F = F^T$, $G = G^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices and (A, F) is stabilizable. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & G \\ F & -A^T \end{bmatrix}$$

and assume that the matrix sign function of M is partitioned as

$$\text{sign}(M) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n - Z_{11} \\ Z_{21} \end{bmatrix} X = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{12} \\ I_n - Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hint: First show that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A - XF & 0 \\ 0 & -(A - XF)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix}^{-1},$$

where Q solves $(A - XF)^T Q + Q(A - XF) + F = 0$. Then make use of the properties of the matrix sign function.

References

- [1] <http://slicot.org/20-site/126-benchmark-examples-for-model-reduction>

Solutions

1 a) \Rightarrow b) Proof by contraposition. Assume that the rank of \mathcal{C} is less than n . We have (w.l.o.g. $t_0 = 0$)

$$x_1 = e^{At_1}x_0 + \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-t)}Bu(t) dt.$$

Then (using Cayley-Hamilton theorem)

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - e^{At_1}x_0 &= \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-t)}Bu(t) dt = \int_0^{t_1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} (t_1 - t)^i A^i Bu(t) dt = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A^i B \int_0^{t_1} \frac{1}{i!} (t_1 - t)^i u(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i B \alpha_i \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - t)^i u(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x_1 - e^{At_1}x_0$ is a linear combination of the columns of \mathcal{C} , so there exists x_1 for which this cannot be satisfied.

b) \Rightarrow c) Proof by contraposition. Assume that $P(t)$ is singular for some $t > 0$. Then there is a nonzero vector v such that $P(t)v = 0$. Then also $v^*P(t)v = 0$, so

$$\int_0^t v^* e^{A\tau} B B^T e^{A^T \tau} v d\tau = 0.$$

It follows that $v^* e^{A\tau} B = 0$ for all $\tau \in [0, t]$. By differentiation at $\tau = 0$, it follows $v^* A^i B$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore, $v^* \mathcal{C} = 0$, so \mathcal{C} doesn't have full rank.

c) \Rightarrow a) Choose the input

$$u(t) = B^T e^{A^T(t_1-t)} P(t_1)^{-1} (-e^{At_1}x_0 + x_1).$$

Then the final state is

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_1) &= e^{At_1}x_0 + \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-t)} B B^T e^{A^T(t_1-t)} P(t_1)^{-1} (-e^{At_1}x_0 + x_1) dt \\ &= e^{At_1}x_0 + \left(\int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-t)} B B^T e^{A^T(t_1-t)} dt \right) P(t_1)^{-1} (-e^{At_1}x_0 + x_1) \\ &= e^{At_1}x_0 - e^{At_1}x_0 + x_1 \\ &= x_1. \end{aligned}$$

2

$$\begin{aligned} AX + XA^T + Q &= A \int_0^{\infty} e^{At} Q e^{A^T t} dt + \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{At} Q e^{A^T t} dt \right) A^T + Q \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (A e^{At} Q e^{A^T t} + e^{At} Q e^{A^T t} A^T) dt + Q \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{At} Q e^{A^T t}) dt + Q \\ &= \left(e^{At} Q e^{A^T t} \right) \Big|_0^{\infty} + Q \\ &= -Q + Q \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

3 a), b) \Rightarrow c) a) implies $P = \int_0^\infty e^{At} B B^T e^{A^T t} dt$, b) implies $P > 0$ (using the same argument as in the first Exercise).

a), c) \Rightarrow b) a) and c) imply $P = \int_0^\infty e^{At} B B^T e^{A^T t} dt > 0$. Assuming that b) is false, from $v^* C = 0$ for a nonzero v , it follows that $v^* e^{At} B = 0$ using Cayley-Hamilton, which then implies that $v^* P v = 0$, a contradiction.

b), c) \Rightarrow a) Let $x^* A = \lambda x^*$. Then $A^T x = \bar{\lambda} x$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^* A P x + x^* P A^T x + x^* B B^T x &= 0, \\ \lambda x^* P x + \bar{\lambda} x^* P x &= -x^* B B^T x, \\ (\lambda + \bar{\lambda}) x^* P x &= -\|x^* B\|^2, \\ 2 \operatorname{Re} \lambda &= -\frac{\|x^* B\|^2}{x^* P x}. \end{aligned}$$

If $x^* B = 0$, then $x^* C = 0$, so (A, B) is uncontrollable, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$.

5 a) We see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & X B + C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & A X + X B + C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sign} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{sign} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & 2X \\ 0 & I_m \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

b) Iterating over $\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -B \end{bmatrix}$ looks like

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A_{i+1} & C_{i+1} \\ 0 & -B_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_i & C_i \\ 0 & -B_i \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A_i & C_i \\ 0 & -B_i \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_i & C_i \\ 0 & -B_i \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A_i^{-1} & A_i^{-1} C_i B_i^{-1} \\ 0 & -B_i^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} A_i + A_i^{-1} & C_i + A_i^{-1} C_i B_i^{-1} \\ 0 & -B_i - B_i^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (A_i + A_i^{-1}), \\ B_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (B_i + B_i^{-1}), \\ C_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (C_i + A_i^{-1} C_i B_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

10

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} I_n - XQ & X & I_n & 0 \\ -Q & I_n & 0 & I_n \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} I_n & 0 & I_n & -X \\ -Q & I_n & 0 & I_n \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} I_n & 0 & I_n & -X \\ 0 & I_n & Q & I_n - QX \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ Q & I_n - QX \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & G \\ F & -A^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A - XF & G + XA^T \\ QA + F - QXF & QG - A^T + QXA^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A - XF & 0 \\ QA + F - QXF - FXQ + A^T Q & FX - A^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A - XF & 0 \\ (A - XF)^T Q + Q(A - XF) + F & -(A - XF)^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A - XF & 0 \\ 0 & -(A - XF)^T \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sign} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & G \\ F & -A^T \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \begin{bmatrix} I_n - XQ & X \\ -Q & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ Q & I_n - QX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -I_n + XQ & X \\ Q & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -X \\ Q & I_n - QX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -I_n + 2XQ & 2X - 2XQX \\ 2Q & I_n - 2QX \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-I_n + 2XQ &= Z_{11}, \quad 2X - 2XQX = Z_{12}, \quad 2Q = Z_{21}, \quad I_n - 2QX = Z_{22} \\
-I_n + XZ_{21} &= Z_{11}, \quad 2X - XZ_{21}X = Z_{12}, \quad 2Q = Z_{21}, \quad I_n - Z_{21}X = Z_{22} \\
-I_n + XZ_{21} &= Z_{11}, \quad 2X - (I_n + Z_{11})X = Z_{12}, \quad 2Q = Z_{21}, \quad I_n - Z_{21}X = Z_{22} \\
-I_n + XZ_{21} &= Z_{11}, \quad (I_n - Z_{11})X = Z_{12}, \quad 2Q = Z_{21}, \quad Z_{21}X = I_n - Z_{22}
\end{aligned}$$