# k-generalized Fibonacci numbers of the form

$$1+2^{n_1}+4^{n_2}+\cdots+(2^k)^{n_k}$$

CARLOS ALEXIS GÓMEZ RUÍZ<sup>1</sup> AND FLORIAN LUCA<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

Received December 17, 2013; accepted June 7, 2014

**Abstract.** A generalization of the well–known Fibonacci sequence is the k–generalized Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n^{(k)})_{n\geq 2-k}$  whose first k terms are  $0,\ldots,0,1$  and each term afterwards is the sum of the preceding k terms. In this paper, we investigate k–generalized Fibonacci numbers written in the form  $1+2^{n_1}+4^{n_2}+\cdots+(2^k)^{n_k}$ , for non–negative integers  $n_i$ , with  $n_k\geq \max_{1\leq i\leq k-1}\{n_i\}$ .

AMS subject classifications: 11B39, 11J86

**Key words**: Fibonacci numbers, lower bounds for nonzero linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers

#### 1. Introduction

The Fibonacci sequence denoted by  $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is the sequence of integers given by  $F_0=F_1=1$  and  $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_n$ , for all  $n\geq 0$ .

The study of Fibonacci numbers having special representations has been of interest to many researchers and has generated an extensive literature. We only name a few of such studies. In 1963, Moser and Carlitz [16], and Rollet [22], proposed the problem of finding all square Fibonacci numbers. This problem was solved one year later by Cohn [4] and Wyler [25], independently. In 1965, Cohn [5] found all positive integer solutions (n, x) of the Diophantine equation  $F_n = 2x^2$ . Later, Robbins (see [18]) solved the equation  $F_n = px^2$  for all primes  $p \in [2, 10000]$  as well as for all primes p such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . In the subsequent work [19], he found all positive integer solutions (n, x) of the Diophantine equation  $F_n = cx^2$  for all composite values of  $c \in [2, 10000]$ . Other studies concerning representations of Fibonacci numbers by quadratic and cubic polynomials are dealt with the Diophantine equations

- $F_n = k^2 + k + 2$ , [10];
- $F_n = x^2 1$  and  $F_n = x^3 \pm 1$ , [20];

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del Valle, Calle 13 No. 100-00, 25 360 Santiago de Cali, Colombia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits 2050, South Africa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mathematical Institute, UNAM Juriquilla, 76 230 Santiago de Querétaro, México

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.  $Email\ addresses:$  carlos.a.gomez@correounivalle.edu.co (C. A. Gómez Ruíz), fluca@matmor.unam.mx (F. Luca)

• 
$$F_n = px^2 + 1$$
 and  $F_n = px^3 + 1$ , [21].

Recently, Bugeaud, Mignotte and Siksek [1] confirmed that the only perfect powers (of exponent greater than 1) in the Fibonacci sequence are 0, 1, 8, and 144, which was a famous problem. Shortly after that, together with Luca [2], the same authors showed that the only Fibonacci numbers that are at distance 1 from a perfect power are 1, 2, 3, 5, and 8.

Next we mention some results related to the problem discussed in this paper. Pethő and Tichy [17] showed that if p is a fixed prime, then there are only finitely many Fibonacci numbers of the form  $p^a + p^b + p^c$  with integers  $a > b > c \ge 0$ . The proof of their result is ineffective in that it uses the finiteness of a number of non-degenerate solutions of S-units equations. However, all solutions of such an equation can be found using the theory of lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms as in [6, 11, 23]. Regarding this type of representation, Luca and Szalay [13] and Luca and Stănică [12] showed that each of the Diophantine equations  $F_n = p^a \pm p^b + 1$  and  $F_n = p^a \pm p^b$  has only finitely many positive integer solutions (n, p, a, b), with p prime being also a variable. Marques and Togbé [14] found all Fibonacci numbers of the form  $2^a + 3^b + 5^c$ , with  $c \ge \max\{a, b\} \ge 0$ . Note that 2, 3, 5 are  $F_3$ ,  $F_4$ ,  $F_5$ , respectively. In the same paper, the authors claim to have found all Fibonacci numbers of the form  $y^a + y^b + y^c$ , with positive integers a, b, c and integer  $y \in [2, 9]$ .

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. One of many generalizations of the Fibonacci sequence, which is sometimes called the *k*-generalized Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$ , is given by the recurrence

$$F_n^{(k)} = F_{n-1}^{(k)} + F_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + F_{n-k}^{(k)}, \text{ for all } n \ge 2,$$

with the initial conditions  $F_{2-k}^{(k)} = F_{3-k}^{(k)} = \cdots = F_0^{(k)} = 0$  and  $F_1^{(k)} = 1$ . We refer to  $F_n^{(k)}$  as the  $n^{th}$  k-generalized Fibonacci number or k-Fibonacci number. Note that for k=2, we have  $F_n^{(2)} = F_n$ , the well-known  $n^{th}$  Fibonacci number. For k=3, such numbers are called *Tribonacci* numbers. They are followed by the *Tetranacci* numbers for k=4, and so on.

A curious fact about the k-generalized Fibonacci sequence is that the k values after to the k initial values are powers of two. Indeed,

$$F_2^{(k)} = 1, \quad F_3^{(k)} = 2, \quad F_4^{(k)} = 4, \dots, F_{k+1}^{(k)} = 2^{k-1}.$$
 (1)

That is,  $F_n^{(k)} = 2^{n-2}$ , for all  $2 \le n \le k+1$ . Solutions of Diophantine equations on k-generalized Fibonacci numbers involving its first k+1 values will be called *trivial solutions*. The first k-generalized Fibonacci number that is not a power of two is  $F_{k+2}^{(k)} = 2^k - 1$ . Bravo and Luca showed in [3] that  $F_n^{(k)} < 2^{n-2}$  for all  $n \ge k+2$  and that except for trivial cases, there are no powers of two in any k-generalized Fibonacci sequence for  $k \ge 3$ , and that the only nontrivial power of two in the Fibonacci sequence is  $F_6 = 8$ .

In this paper, we find all k-generalized Fibonacci numbers of the form  $1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \cdots + (2^k)^{n_k}$ , in non-negative integers  $n_i$ , with  $n_k \ge \max_{1 \le i \le k-1} \{n_i\}$ . In other

words, we look at the Diophantine equation

$$F_m^{(k)} = 1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \dots + (2^k)^{n_k}.$$
(2)

This equation is inspired by the work of Marques and Togbé [14] on the equation  $F_n = F_3^a + F_4^b + F_5^c$  with  $c \ge \max\{a,b\}$ . Also, for every fixed k, equation (2) has only at most finitely many solutions  $(m,n_1,\ldots,n_k)$  even without the restriction that  $n_k \ge \max_{1 \le i \le k-1} \{n_i\}$ . These solutions can be computed using the theory of linear forms

in logarithms of algebraic numbers because  $\{F_n^{(k)}\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a non-degenerate linearly recurrent sequence whose dominant root has the property that is multiplicatively independent over the number 2 (see [23] and [11]). We do not know, however, how to prove a finiteness result when k is also a variable without the above size restriction on  $n_k$ . We prove the following theorem.

**Main Theorem.** The only nontrivial solution of the Diophantine equation (2) in non-negative integers  $m, k, n_1, \ldots, n_k$  with  $k \geq 2, m \geq 2k+3, n_k \geq 2$  and  $n_k \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} \{n_i\}$ , is  $(m, k, n_1, n_2) = (8, 2, 2, 2)$ . That is,

$$F_8 = 1 + 2^2 + 4^2$$
.

Before getting to the details, we give a brief description of our method. We first use lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers to bound m polynomially in terms of k. When k is small, we use the theory of continued fractions by means of a result of Dujella and Pethő to lower such bounds to cases that allow us to treat our problem computationally. When k is large, we use the fact that the dominant root of the k-generalized Fibonacci sequence is exponentially close to 2, to substitute this root by 2 in our calculations with linear form in logarithms obtaining in this way a simpler linear form in logarithms, which allows us to bound k and then complete the remaining calculations.

#### 2. Some results on k-Fibonacci numbers

The characteristic polynomial of the k-generalized Fibonacci sequence is

$$\Psi_k(x) = x^k - x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1.$$

The above polynomial has just one root  $\alpha(k)$  outside the unit circle. It is real and positive so it satisfies  $\alpha(k) > 1$ . The other roots are strictly inside the unit circle. In particular,  $\Psi_k(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Lemma 2.3 in [9] shows that

$$2(1-2^{-k}) < \alpha(k) < 2$$
, for all  $k \ge 2$ . (3)

This inequality was rediscovered by Wolfram [24]. We put  $\alpha := \alpha(k)$ . This is called the *dominant root* of  $\Psi_k(x)$  for reasons that we present below. Dresden [7] gave the following Binet-like formula for  $F_n^{(k)}$ :

$$F_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\alpha^{(i)} - 1}{2 + (k+1)(\alpha^{(i)} - 2)} \alpha^{(i)^{n-1}},\tag{4}$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)}$  are the roots of  $\Psi_k(x)$ . Dresden also showed that the contribution of the roots which are inside the unit circle to the right-hand side of (4) is very small. More precisely, he proved that

$$\left| F_n^{(k)} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{2 + (k+1)(\alpha - 2)} \alpha^{n-1} \right| < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$
 (5)

Other properties relevant to our work are the following. The inequality

$$\alpha^{n-2} \le F_n^{(k)} \le \alpha^{n-1} \tag{6}$$

holds for all  $n \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 2$  (see [3]). Further, the sequences

$$(F_n^{(k)})_{n\geq 1}, \quad (F_n^{(k)})_{k\geq 2} \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha(k))_{k\geq 2}$$
 (7)

are non decreasing. Particularly,  $\alpha \ge \phi := (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  for all  $k \ge 2$ . We consider the function

$$f_k(z) := \frac{z-1}{2 + (k+1)(z-2)}, \text{ for } k \ge 2.$$

If  $z \in (2(1-2^{-k}), 2)$ , a straightforward verification shows that  $\partial_z f_k(z) < 0$ . Indeed,

$$\partial_z f_k(z) = \frac{-k+1}{(2+(k+2)(z-2))^2} < 0$$
, for all  $k \ge 2$ .

Thus, from inequality (3), we conclude that

$$1/2 = f_k(2) \le f_k(\alpha) \le f_k\left(2(1-2^{-k})\right) = \frac{2^{k-1}-1}{2^k-k-1} \le 1,$$

for all  $k \geq 2$ . Furthermore, one can check that the upper bound 1 on the right-hand side above can be replaced by 3/4 for all  $k \geq 3$ . Since we also have that  $f_2((1+\sqrt{5})/2) = 0.72360... < 3/4$ , we deduce that  $f_k(\alpha) \leq 3/4$  holds for all  $k \geq 2$ . On the other hand, if  $z = \alpha^{(i)}$  with i = 2, ..., k, then  $|f_k(\alpha^{(i)})| < 1$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . Indeed, as  $|\alpha^{(i)}| < 1$ , then  $|\alpha^{(i)} - 1| < 2$  and  $|2 + (k+1)(\alpha^{(i)} - 2)| > k - 1$ . Further,  $f_2((1-\sqrt{5})/2) = 0.2763...$ 

Finally, in order to replace  $\alpha$  by 2 in the final stage of our argument, we use an argument that is due to Bravo and Luca [3]. Namely, if  $1 \le r < 2^{k/2}$ , then

$$\alpha^r = 2^r + \delta$$
 and  $f_k(\alpha) = f_k(2) + \eta$ ,

with  $|\delta| < 2^{r+1}/2^{k/2}$  and  $|\eta| < 2k/2^k$ . Thus,

$$\left| f_k(\alpha) \alpha^r - 2^{r-1} \right| < \frac{2^r}{2^{k/2}} + \frac{2^{r+1}k}{2^k} + \frac{2^{r+2}k}{2^{3k/2}}.$$

Furthermore, if k > 10, then  $4k/2^k < 1/2^{k/2}$  and  $8k/2^{3k/2} < 1/2^{k/2}$ . Hence,

$$\left| f_k(\alpha) \alpha^r - 2^{r-1} \right| < \frac{2^{r+1}}{2^{k/2}}.$$
 (8)

#### 3. Preliminary considerations

Let us suppose that  $(m, k, n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is a solution of (2). Since  $n_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , we conclude that  $F_m^{(k)} \geq k + 1 \geq 3$  and so  $m \geq 4$ .

We make some considerations on  $n_k$ . If  $n_k = 0$ , then  $F_m^{(k)} = k + 1$ . Thus, either k = 2 and m = 4, or  $k \ge 3$  and  $m \le k + 1$ , obtaining that in this case the solutions are given by

$$(m, k, n_1, \dots, n_k) = (4, 2, 0, \dots, 0)$$
 or  $(t + 2, M_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,

where  $M_t$  is the  $t^{th}$  Mersenne number and  $t \geq 2$ . If  $n_k = 1$ , then  $m \leq k + 3$ , which follows from the fact that  $F_m^{(k)} \leq 2^{k+1} - 1 < F_{k+4}^{(k)} = 2^{k+2} - 8$  for all  $k \geq 2$  together with (7). But, for  $m \leq k + 2$  this leads to a contradiction:

$$2^k + k \le 1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \dots + 2^k = F_m^{(k)} \le 2^k - 1.$$

If m = k + 3, then by (2)

$$2^{k+1} - 3 = 1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \dots + (2^{k-1})^{n_{k-1}} + 2^k \le 2^{k+1} - 1.$$

A simple deduction involving binary expansions shows that our equation is not possible for  $n_i = 0$  or 1 and  $k \geq 2$ . Thus, when  $n_k = 1$ , equation (2) has no solutions.

The above argument also shows that for  $n_k \geq 2$ , equation (2) has no trivial solutions. In fact,

$$4^k < F_m^{(k)} < 2^{m-2}$$

so m>2k+2. In this way, our problem is reduced to studying Diophantine equation (2) in integers  $k\geq 2,\ m\geq 2k+3$  and

$$n_k \ge \max\{2, n_i : 1 \le i \le k - 1\}.$$

To conclude this section, we present an inequality relating to m,  $n_k$  and k. By equation (2), we obtain

$$2^{kn_k} < 1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \dots + (2^k)^{n_k} = F_m^{(k)} < 2^{m-2}.$$

Moreover, by inequality (6),

$$\alpha^{m-2} \le F_m^{(k)} = 1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \dots + (2^k)^{n_k} \le \frac{2^{(k+1)n_k} - 1}{2^{n_k} - 1}$$
$$< \frac{2^{(k+1)n_k}}{2^{n_k - 1}} = 2^{kn_k + 1}$$

Thus,

$$kn_k + 2 < m < 1.5kn_k + 3.5.$$
 (9)

Here, we used the fact that  $\log 2/\log \alpha \le \log 2/\log \phi < 1.5$ . Estimate (9) is essential for our purpose.

## 4. An inequality for m in terms of k

¿From now on,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 2k+3$  and  $n_k \geq 2$  are integers satisfying (2). We see easily that  $m \geq 7$ . In order to find an upper bound for m, we use a result of E. M. Matveev on the lower bound for nonzero linear forms in logarithms algebraic numbers.

Let  $\gamma$  be an algebraic number of degree d over  $\mathbb Q$  with the minimal primitive polynomial over the integers

$$f(X) := a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{d} (X - \gamma^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

where the leading coefficient  $a_0$  is positive. The logarithmic height of  $\gamma$  is given by

$$h(\gamma) := \frac{1}{d} \left( \log a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \log \max\{|\gamma^{(i)}|, 1\} \right).$$

We use the following theorem of Matveev [15].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree D over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_t$  positive real numbers of  $\mathbb{K}$ , and  $b_1, \ldots, b_t$  rational integers. Put

$$\Lambda := \gamma_1^{b_1} \cdots \gamma_t^{b_t} - 1 \qquad and \qquad B \ge \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_t|\}.$$

Let  $A_i \ge \max\{Dh(\gamma_i), |\log \gamma_i|, 0.16\}$  be real numbers, for i = 1, ..., t. Then, assuming that  $\Lambda \ne 0$ , we have

$$|\Lambda| > \exp(-1.4 \times 30^{t+3} \times t^{4.5} \times D^2(1 + \log D)(1 + \log B)A_1 \cdots A_t).$$

By using formula (4) and estimate (5), we can write

$$F_m^{(k)} = f_k(\alpha)\alpha^{m-1} + e_k(m), \quad \text{where} \quad |e_k(m)| < 1/2.$$
 (10)

Hence, equation (2) can be rewritten as

$$f_k(\alpha)\alpha^{m-1} - 2^{kn_k} = 1 + 2^{n_1} + 4^{n_2} + \dots + (2^{k-1})^{n_{k-1}} - e_k(m). \tag{11}$$

Dividing both sides of equation (11) by  $2^{kn_k}$  and taking absolute values, we get

$$\left| f_k(\alpha) \alpha^{m-1} 2^{-kn_k} - 1 \right| < \frac{2^{kn_k} - 1}{2^{kn_k} (2^{n_k} - 1)} + \frac{1}{2^{kn_k + 1}} < \frac{3}{2^{n_k}}.$$
 (12)

We apply Theorem 1 with the parameters t:=3,  $\gamma_1:=f_k(\alpha)$ ,  $\gamma_2:=\alpha$ ,  $\gamma_3:=2$ ,  $b_1:=1$ ,  $b_2:=m-1$ ,  $b_3:=kn_k$ . Hence,  $\Lambda:=f_k(\alpha)\alpha^{m-1}2^{-kn_k}-1$  and from (12), we have that

$$|\Lambda| < \frac{3}{2^{n_k}}.\tag{13}$$

The algebraic number field  $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  contains  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ , and  $\gamma_3$  and has degree k over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; i.e., D = k. We show that  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . Otherwise, we get the relation  $f_k(\alpha)\alpha^{m-1} = 0$ 

 $2^{kn_k}$ . Conjugating this relation by an automorphism  $\sigma$  of the Galois group of  $\Psi_k(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^{(i)}$  for some i > 1, we get that  $2^{kn_k} = f_k(\alpha^{(i)})(\alpha^{(i)})^{m-1}$ . Then  $|f_k(\alpha^{(i)})| > 16$ , which is impossible. Hence,  $\Lambda \neq 0$ .

Knowing that  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(f_k(\alpha))$  and  $|f_k(\alpha^{(i)})| \leq 1$  for i = 1, ..., k and  $k \geq 2$ , we obtain that  $h(\gamma_1) = (\log a_0)/k$ , where  $a_0$  is the leading coefficient of the minimal primitive polynomial over the integers of  $\gamma_1$ . Put

$$g_k(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left( x - f_k(\alpha^{(i)}) \right) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

and  $\mathcal{N} = N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(2 + (k+1)(\alpha - 2)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{N}g_k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  vanishes at  $f_k(\alpha)$ . Thus,  $a_0$  divides  $|\mathcal{N}|$ . But

$$|\mathcal{N}| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( 2 + (k+1)(\alpha^{(i)} - 2) \right) \right| = (k+1)^{k} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( 2 - \frac{2}{k+1} - \alpha^{(i)} \right) \right|$$
$$= (k+1)^{k} \left| \Psi_{k} \left( 2 - \frac{2}{k+1} \right) \right|$$
$$= \frac{2^{k+1} k^{k} - (k+1)^{k+1}}{k-1} < 2^{k} k^{k}.$$

Hence,  $h(\gamma_1) < \log(2k) \le 2 \log k$  for all  $k \ge 2$ . Further,  $h(\gamma_2) = (\log \alpha)/k$  and  $h(\gamma_3) = \log 2$ . Thus, we can take  $A_1 := 2k \log k$ ,  $A_2 := 0.7$  and  $A_3 := 0.7k$ . Finally, from (9), we can take B := m - 1.

Theorem 1 gives the following lower bound for  $|\Lambda|$ :

$$\exp\left(-1.4\times 30^6\times 3^{4.5}k^2(1+\log k)(1+\log(m-1))(2k\log k)(0.7)(0.7k)\right),$$

which is by inequality (13) smaller than  $3/2^{n_k}$ . Taking logarithms on both sides and performing respective calculations, we get that

$$n_k < \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + \frac{1.4 \times 30^6 \times 3^{4.5} \times 0.7^2 \times 2 \times 2.5 \times 1.5}{\log 2} k^4 (\log k)^2 \log m < 7.6 \times 10^{11} k^4 (\log k)^2 \log m,$$
(14)

where we used the fact that  $1 + \log k < 2.5 \log k$  and  $1 + \log(m-1) < 1.5 \log m$  for all k > 2 and m > 7.

By inequality (9),  $m < 1.5kn_k + 3.5$ , and inserting this bound into (14), we conclude that

$$m < 1.5k(7.6 \times 10^{11}k^4(\log k)^2 \log m) + 3.5$$
  
$$< 1.2 \times 10^{12}k^5(\log k)^2 \log m,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{m}{\log m} < 1.2 \times 10^{12} k^5 (\log k)^2. \tag{15}$$

Now, as the function  $x \mapsto x/\log x$  is increasing for all x > e, we can easily show that the inequality  $\frac{x}{\log x} < A$  yields  $x < 2A \log A$ , whenever A > 3. Applying this argument to inequality (15), with  $A := 1.2 \times 10^{12} k^5 (\log k)^2$  and x := m, we obtain

$$m < 2(1.2 \times 10^{12} k^5 (\log k)^2) \log(1.2 \times 10^{12} k^5 (\log k)^2)$$
  
< 1.2 × 10<sup>14</sup> k<sup>5</sup> (log k)<sup>3</sup>,

where we have used that  $\log(1.2 \times 10^{12} k^5 (\log k)^2) < 48 \log k$  holds for all  $k \ge 2$ . We record what we have just proved.

**Lemma 1.** If  $(m, k, n_1, ..., n_k)$  is a solution of (2), with  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 2k + 3$  and  $n_k \geq 2$ , then the inequality

$$kn_k + 3 \le m < 1.2 \times 10^{14} \, k^5 (\log k)^3$$
 (16)

holds.

#### 5. The case of small k

Here, we treat the case  $k \in [2, 182]$  showing that in such range equation (2) has a solution only when k = 2 and the only solution then is

$$F_8 = 1 + 2^2 + 4^2$$
.

We make use of the following result due to Dujella and Pethő which is a generalization of a result of Baker and Davenport (see [8]). Our aim here is to reduce the upper bound of m obtained for each  $k \in [2,182]$  by using inequality (16) and afterwards conclude by performing a computational search.

For a real number x, we put  $||x|| = \min\{|x - n| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for the distance from x to the nearest integer.

**Lemma 2.** Let M be a positive integer and p/q a convergent of the continued fraction of the irrational  $\gamma$  such that q>6M, and let  $A,B,\mu$  be some real numbers with A>0 and B>1. Let  $\epsilon:=||\mu q||-M||\gamma q||$ . If  $\epsilon>0$ , then there is no solution to the inequality

$$0 < m\gamma - n + \mu < AB^{-m},$$

in positive integers m and n with  $\log(Aq/\epsilon)/\log B \le m \le M$ .

In order to apply Lemma 2, we let

$$\Gamma := (m-1)\log \alpha - kn_k \log 2 + \log f_k(\alpha).$$

Returning to  $\Lambda$  given by expression (12), we see that  $e^{\Gamma} - 1 = \Lambda$ . We note that  $\Gamma$  is positive since  $\Lambda$  is positive, which can be deduced by looking at the right-hand side of equation (11).

Thus,

$$0 < \Gamma < e^{\Gamma} - 1 < \frac{3}{2^{n_k}}.$$

Replacing  $\Gamma$  by its formula and dividing both sides by  $\log 2$ , we get

$$0 < (m-1) \left( \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2} \right) - kn_k + \frac{\log f_k(\alpha)}{\log 2} < \frac{3}{2^{n_k} \log 2} < 5 \times 2^{\frac{2.5}{1.5k}} (2^{\frac{1}{1.5k}})^{-(m-1)}, (17)$$

where we used that  $n_k > (m-3.5)/(1.5k)$ , which follows from inequality (9). We put

$$\gamma_k := \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2}, \qquad \mu_k := \frac{\log f_k(\alpha)}{\log 2},$$

and

$$A_k := 5 \times 3.18^{1/k}, \qquad B_k := 1.58^{1/k}.$$

The fact that  $\alpha$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  ensures that  $\gamma_k$  is an irrational number. Even more,  $\gamma_k$  is transcendental by the Gelfond-Schneider theorem. Inequality (17) can be rewritten as

$$0 < (m-1)\gamma_k - kn_k + \mu_k < A_k B_k^{-(m-1)}.$$
(18)

Now, we take  $M := \lfloor 1.2 \times 10^{14} k^5 (\log k)^3 \rfloor$  which is an upper bound on m by inequality (16), and apply Lemma 2 to inequality (18) for each  $k \in [2, 182]$ .

By means of computer search with Mathematica we found the values of

$$m_k := \lfloor \log(A_k q/\epsilon) / \log B_k \rfloor$$

(see Table 1) which corresponds to upper bounds on m-1, according to Lemma 2. Thus, gathering all the information obtained and considering inequality (9), our problem is reduced to search solutions for (2) in the following range:

$$k \in [2, 182], \quad m \in [2k+3, m_k+1], \quad n_k \in [2, (m_k-1)/k].$$
 (19)

| k       | $m_k$ | k  | $m_k$ | k  | $m_k$ | k   | $m_k$ | k   | $m_k$ | k   | $m_k$ | k   | $m_k$ |
|---------|-------|----|-------|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| 2       | 17    | 28 | 3506  | 54 | 8365  | 80  | 15507 | 106 | 32374 | 132 | 51132 | 158 | 72554 |
| 3       | 285   | 29 | 3756  | 55 | 8505  | 81  | 15827 | 107 | 32932 | 133 | 51328 | 159 | 73451 |
| 4       | 392   | 30 | 3796  | 56 | 8844  | 82  | 19241 | 108 | 33587 | 134 | 51949 | 160 | 74389 |
| 5       | 542   | 31 | 3947  | 57 | 9143  | 83  | 19668 | 109 | 34297 | 135 | 53259 | 161 | 75502 |
| 6       | 632   | 32 | 4076  | 58 | 9847  | 84  | 20161 | 110 | 34838 | 136 | 53814 | 162 | 76422 |
| 7       | 753   | 33 | 4232  | 59 | 9979  | 85  | 20928 | 111 | 35627 | 137 | 54368 | 163 | 77243 |
| 8       | 923   | 34 | 4405  | 60 | 10475 | 86  | 21146 | 112 | 37020 | 138 | 55179 | 164 | 78246 |
| 9       | 1041  | 35 | 4522  | 61 | 10674 | 87  | 21633 | 113 | 36772 | 139 | 56083 | 165 | 79259 |
| 10      | 1108  | 36 | 4649  | 62 | 10857 | 88  | 22511 | 114 | 37453 | 140 | 56820 | 166 | 80211 |
| 11      | 1251  | 37 | 4861  | 63 | 11298 | 89  | 23176 | 115 | 38230 | 141 | 57614 | 167 | 81368 |
| 12      | 1393  | 38 | 4962  | 64 | 11659 | 90  | 23190 | 116 | 38909 | 142 | 59817 | 168 | 82173 |
| 13      | 1483  | 39 | 5164  | 65 | 12178 | 91  | 23840 | 117 | 39475 | 143 | 59259 | 169 | 83163 |
| 14      | 1617  | 40 | 5352  | 66 | 12347 | 92  | 24284 | 118 | 40263 | 144 | 60383 | 170 | 84215 |
| 15      | 1791  | 41 | 5420  | 67 | 12739 | 93  | 25063 | 119 | 40850 | 145 | 61012 | 171 | 85231 |
| 16      | 1866  | 42 | 5548  | 68 | 13113 | 94  | 25375 | 120 | 41805 | 146 | 61844 | 172 | 86208 |
| 17      | 2069  | 43 | 5592  | 69 | 13501 | 95  | 25884 | 121 | 42310 | 147 | 62723 | 173 | 87505 |
| 18      | 2358  | 44 | 5873  | 70 | 13895 | 96  | 26539 | 122 | 43101 | 148 | 63756 | 174 | 88219 |
| 19      | 2340  | 45 | 6033  | 71 | 14365 | 97  | 27020 | 123 | 43706 | 149 | 64660 | 175 | 89398 |
| 20      | 2448  | 46 | 6068  | 72 | 14745 | 98  | 27551 | 124 | 44413 | 150 | 65364 | 176 | 90235 |
| 21      | 2527  | 47 | 6190  | 73 | 15135 | 99  | 28121 | 125 | 45164 | 151 | 66187 | 177 | 91424 |
| 22      | 2760  | 48 | 6548  | 74 | 15593 | 100 | 28696 | 126 | 46300 | 152 | 67133 | 178 | 92302 |
| 23      | 2870  | 49 | 6937  | 75 | 15993 | 101 | 29292 | 127 | 46835 | 153 | 68067 | 179 | 93492 |
| $^{24}$ | 2973  | 50 | 7041  | 76 | 16490 | 102 | 29916 | 128 | 47351 | 154 | 69035 | 180 | 94399 |
| 25      | 3061  | 51 | 7335  | 77 | 19875 | 103 | 30482 | 129 | 48169 | 155 | 70523 | 181 | 95778 |
| 26      | 3295  | 52 | 7921  | 78 | 17471 | 104 | 31111 | 130 | 48930 | 156 | 70810 | 182 | 96654 |
| 27      | 3391  | 53 | 7917  | 79 | 17777 | 105 | 31683 | 131 | 49718 | 157 | 71599 |     |       |
|         |       |    |       |    |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |

 ${\sf Table \ 1:} \quad Reducing \ m \ on \ k$ 

Finally, we note that if  $(m, k, n_1, ..., n_k)$  is a solution of the equation (2) and s is the number of  $n_i$ 's which are zero, then  $0 \le s \le k - 1$   $(n_k \ge 2)$ , and the following hold:

- i)  $F_m^{(k)} s$  is odd;
- ii) k divides the greatest exponent of 2 in the binary representation of  $F_m^{(k)} s$ ;
- iii)  $F_m^{(k)} s$  has k + 1 s digits of 1 in base 2 and the remaining digits equal to zero.

Hence, we search for all k-Fibonacci numbers  $F_m^{(k)}$ , with k and m in the range given by (19), which satisfy the above conditions. A new computational search with Mathematica revealed that s=0 and

Comparing the representation in base 2 of each  $F_m^{(k)}$  with the shape of the right-hand side of equation (2), we conclude that the only nontrivial solution of the equation (2) is that given by the Main Theorem. With this, we completed the analysis of the case when k is small.

## **6.** The case of large k

We now assume that k > 182 and show that the equation (2) has no nontrivial solutions. From (16) we have that

$$m < 1.2 \times 10^{14} k^5 (\log k)^3 < 2^{k/2}$$
.

Then, combining inequality (8) with r = m - 1, equality (11) and the fact that  $n_k \geq 2$ , we conclude that

$$|2^{m-2} - 2^{kn_k}| < |2^{m-2} - f_k(\alpha)\alpha^{m-1}| + |f_k(\alpha)\alpha^{m-1} - 2^{kn_k}|$$
$$< \frac{2^m}{2^{k/2}} + \frac{2^{kn_k}}{3} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, dividing both sides by  $2^{m-2}$ , we get

$$|1 - 2^{kn_k - (m-2)}| < \frac{4}{2^{k/2}} + \frac{1}{3 \times 2^{m-2-kn_k}} + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}.$$
 (20)

On the other hand, by (9), the left-hand side in (20) is greater than or equal to 1/2. So, in summary, from (20) and the previous observation, we have that

$$\frac{4}{2^{k/2}} + \frac{1}{3 \times 2^{m-2-kn_k}} + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} > \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (21)

However, inequality (21) is impossible, given that k > 182,  $m \ge 7$  and  $m - 2 - kn_k \ge 1$ .

Thus, we have shown that there are no solutions  $(m, k, n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  to Diophantine equation (2) with k > 182,  $m \ge 2k + 3$  and  $n_k \ge 2$ , which completes the proof of our Main Theorem.

## Acknowledgement

We thank the referees for their comments which improved the quality of this paper. This paper was written when the first author was visiting the Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia in December 2013. C. A. G. R. thanks this Institution for hospitality, UMALCA for its partial support and the Universidad del Valle for support during his Ph.D. studies. The work of F. L. was supported in part by Projects PAPIIT IN 104512, CONACyT 193539, CONACyT 163787 and a Marcos Moshinsky Fellowship.

#### References

- [1] Y. BUGEAUD, M. MIGNOTTE, S. SIKSEK, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations. I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. of Math. 163(2006), 969–1018.
- [2] Y. BUGEAUD, M. MIGNOTTE, F. LUCA, S. SIKSEK, Fibonacci numbers at most one away from a perfect power, Elem. Math. **63**(2008), 65–75.
- [3] J. Bravo, F. Luca, *Powers of two in generalized Fibonacci sequences*, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **46**(2012), 67–79.
- [4] J. H. E. COHN, On square Fibonacci numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 39(1964), 537– 540
- [5] J. H. E. COHN, Lucas and Fibonacci numbers and some Diophantine equations, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc 7(1965), 24–28.
- [6] S. Díaz Alvarado, F. Luca, Fibonacci numbers which are sums of two repdigits, in: Proceedings of the XIVth International Conference on Fibonacci numbers and their applications (F. Luca and P. Stănică, Eds.), Aportaciones Matemáticas, Investigación 20, Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, 2011, 97–108.
- [7] G. P. Dresden, A Simplified Binet Formula for k-generalized Fibonacci Numbers, arXiv:0905.0304v2 [math.NT].
- [8] A. Dujella, A. Pethő, A Generalization of a Theorem of Baker and Davenport, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 49(1998), 291–306.
- [9] L. K. Hua, Y. Wang, Applications of number theory to numerical analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Science Press, Beijing, 1981 (translated from Chinese).
- [10] F. Luca, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $k^2 + k + 2$ , in: Applications of Fibonacci numbers Volume 8, (F. T. Howard, Ed.), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999, 241–249
- [11] F. Luca, Distinct digits in base b expansions of linear recurrence sequences. Quaest. Math. 23(2000), 389–404.
- [12] F. Luca, P. Stănică, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $p^a \pm p^b$ , Congr. Numer. **194**(2009), 177–183.
- [13] F. LUCA, L. SZALAY, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $p^a \pm p^b + 1$ , The Fibonacci Quarterly 45(2007), 98–103.
- [14] D. MARQUES, A. TOGBÉ, Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of the form 2<sup>a</sup> + 3<sup>b</sup> + 5<sup>c</sup>, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, 89(2013), 47–50.
- [15] E. M. Matveev, An Explicit Lower Bound for a Homogeneous Rational Linear Form in the Logarithms of Algebraic Numbers, Izv. Math. **64**(2000), 1217–1269.
- [16] L. Moser, L. Carlitz, Advanced problem H-2, The Fibonacci Quarterly 1(1963), 46.

- [17] A. Pethő, R. F. Tichy, S-unit equations, linear recurrences and digit expansions, Publ. Math. Debrecen **42**(1993), 145–154.
- [18] N. ROBBINS, On Fibonacci numbers of the form  $px^2$ , where p is prime, The Fibonacci Quarterly **21**(1983), 266–271.
- [19] N. Robbins, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $cx^2$ , where  $1 \le c \le 1000$ , The Fibonacci Quarterly **28**(1990), 306–315.
- [20] N. Robbins, Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of the forms  $w^2 1$ ,  $w^3 \pm 1$ , The Fibonacci Quarterly 19(1981), 369–373.
- [21] N. Robbins, Fibonacci numbers of the forms  $px^2 \pm 1$ ,  $px^3 \pm 1$ , where p is prime, in Applications of Fibonacci numbers (San Jose, CA, 1986), 77–88, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.
- [22] A. P. ROLLET, Advanced problem 5080, Amer. Math. Monthly **70**(1963), 216.
- [23] C. L. Stewart, On the representation of an integer in two different bases, J. Reine Angew. Math. **319**(1980), 63–72.
- [24] D. A. Wolfram, Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences, The Fibonacci Quarterly **36**(1998), 129–145.
- [25] O. Wyler, In the Fibonacci series  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_2 = 1$ ,  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$  the first, second and twelfth terms are squares, Amer. Math. Monthly **71**(1964), 221–222.