

On the fine spectrum of the upper triangle double band matrix Δ^+ on the sequence space c_0

ERDİNÇ DÜNDAR¹ AND FEYZİ BAŞAR^{2,*}

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Kocatepe University, Gazlıgöl Yolu, TR-03 200 Afyon, Turkey*

² *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Fatih University, Büyükdere Kampüsü, TR-34 500 Istanbul, Turkey*

Received March 12, 2012; accepted April 27, 2013

Abstract. In this study, we determine the fine spectrum of the matrix operator Δ^+ defined by an upper triangle double band matrix acting on the sequence space c_0 with respect to the Goldberg's classification. As a new development, we give the approximate point spectrum, defect spectrum and compression spectrum of the matrix operator Δ^+ on c_0 .

AMS subject classifications: 47A10, 47B37

Key words: spectrum, fine spectrum, Goldberg's classification, approximate point spectrum, defect spectrum, compression spectrum

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ also be a bounded linear operator. By $R(T)$ we denote the range of T , i.e.,

$$R(T) = \{y \in Y : y = Tx, x \in X\}.$$

By $B(X)$ we also denote the set of all bounded linear operators on X into itself. If X is any Banach space and $T \in B(X)$, then the adjoint T^* of T is a bounded linear operator on the dual X^* of X defined by $(T^*f)(x) = f(Tx)$ for all $f \in X^*$ and $x \in X$.

Given an operator $T \in B(X)$, the set

$$\rho(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is a bijection}\}$$

is called the *resolvent set* of T and its complement with respect to the complex plane

$$\sigma(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$$

is called the *spectrum* of T . By the closed graph theorem, the inverse operator

$$R(\lambda; T) := (\lambda I - T)^{-1}, \quad (\lambda \in \rho(T)) \quad (1)$$

is always bounded and is usually called *resolvent operator* of T at λ .

*Corresponding author.
fbasar@fatih.edu.tr (F. Başar)

Email addresses: edundar@aku.edu.tr (E. Dündar),

2. Subdivisions of the spectrum

In this section, we give the definitions of the parts point spectrum, continuous spectrum, residual spectrum, approximate point spectrum, defect spectrum and compression spectrum of the spectrum. There are many different ways to subdivide the spectrum of a bounded linear operator. Some of them are motivated by applications to physics, in particular, quantum mechanics.

2.1. The point spectrum, continuous spectrum and residual spectrum

The name *resolvent* is appropriate, since T_λ^{-1} helps to solve the equation $T_\lambda x = y$. Thus, $x = T_\lambda^{-1}y$ provided T_λ^{-1} exists. More important, the investigation of properties of T_λ^{-1} will be basic for an understanding of the operator T itself. Naturally, many properties of T_λ and T_λ^{-1} depend on λ , and spectral theory is concerned with those properties. For instance, we shall be interested in the set of all λ 's in the complex plane such that T_λ^{-1} exists. Boundedness of T_λ^{-1} is another property that will be essential. We shall also ask for what λ 's the domain of T_λ^{-1} is dense in X , to name just a few aspects. A *regular value* λ of T is a complex number such that T_λ^{-1} exists and bounded and whose domain is dense in X . For our investigation of T , T_λ and T_λ^{-1} , we need some basic concepts in spectral theory which are given as follows (see [22, pp. 370-371]):

The *resolvent set* $\rho(T, X)$ of T is the set of all regular values λ of T . Furthermore, the spectrum $\sigma(T, X)$ is partitioned into three disjoint sets as follows:

The *point (discrete) spectrum* $\sigma_p(T, X)$ is the set such that T_λ^{-1} does not exist. An $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$ is called an *eigenvalue* of T .

The *continuous spectrum* $\sigma_c(T, X)$ is the set such that T_λ^{-1} exists and is unbounded and the domain of T_λ^{-1} is dense in X .

The *residual spectrum* $\sigma_r(T, X)$ is the set such that T_λ^{-1} exists (and may be bounded or not) but the domain of T_λ^{-1} is not dense in X .

Therefore, these three subspectras form disjoint subdivisions

$$\sigma(T, X) = \sigma_p(T, X) \cup \sigma_c(T, X) \cup \sigma_r(T, X). \quad (2)$$

To avoid trivial misunderstandings, let us say that some of the sets defined above, may be empty. This is an existence problem which we shall have to discuss. Indeed, it is well-known that $\sigma_c(T, X) = \sigma_r(T, X) = \emptyset$ and the spectrum $\sigma(T, X)$ consists of only the set $\sigma_p(T, X)$ in the finite dimensional case.

2.2. The approximate point spectrum, defect spectrum and compression spectrum

In this subsection, following Appell et al. [7], we give the definitions of the three more subdivisions of the spectrum called the *approximate point spectrum*, *defect spectrum* and *compression spectrum*.

Given a bounded linear operator T in a Banach space X , we call a sequence (x_k) in X a *Weyl sequence* for T if $\|x_k\| = 1$ and $\|Tx_k\| \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

In what follows, we call the set

$$\sigma_{ap}(T, X) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{there exists a Weyl sequence for } \lambda I - T\} \quad (3)$$

the *approximate point spectrum* of T . Moreover, the subspectrum

$$\sigma_{\delta}(T, X) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not surjective}\} \quad (4)$$

is called the *defect spectrum* of T .

The two subspectra given by (3) and (4) form (not necessarily disjoint) subdivisions

$$\sigma(T, X) = \sigma_{ap}(T, X) \cup \sigma_{\delta}(T, X)$$

of the spectrum. There is another subspectrum,

$$\sigma_{co}(T, X) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \overline{R(\lambda I - T)} \neq X\}$$

which is often called the *compression spectrum* in the literature. The compression spectrum gives rise to another (not necessarily disjoint) decomposition

$$\sigma(T, X) = \sigma_{ap}(T, X) \cup \sigma_{co}(T, X)$$

of the spectrum. Clearly, $\sigma_p(T, X) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$ and $\sigma_{co}(T, X) \subseteq \sigma_{\delta}(T, X)$. Moreover, comparing these subspectra with those in (2) we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_r(T, X) &= \sigma_{co}(T, X) \setminus \sigma_p(T, X), \\ \sigma_c(T, X) &= \sigma(T, X) \setminus [\sigma_p(T, X) \cup \sigma_{co}(T, X)]. \end{aligned}$$

Sometimes it is useful to relate the spectrum of a bounded linear operator to that of its adjoint. Building on classical existence and uniqueness results for linear operator equations in Banach spaces and their adjoints.

Proposition 1 ([7, Proposition 1.3, p. 28]). *Spectra and subspectra of an operator $T \in B(X)$ and its adjoint $T^* \in B(X^*)$ are related by the following relations:*

- (a) $\sigma(T^*, X^*) = \sigma(T, X)$;
- (b) $\sigma_c(T^*, X^*) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$;
- (c) $\sigma_{ap}(T^*, X^*) = \sigma_{\delta}(T, X)$;
- (d) $\sigma_{\delta}(T^*, X^*) = \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$;
- (e) $\sigma_p(T^*, X^*) = \sigma_{co}(T, X)$;
- (f) $\sigma_{co}(T^*, X^*) \supseteq \sigma_p(T, X)$;
- (g) $\sigma(T, X) = \sigma_{ap}(T, X) \cup \sigma_p(T^*, X^*) = \sigma_p(T, X) \cup \sigma_{ap}(T^*, X^*)$.

Relations (c)–(f) show that the approximate point spectrum is in a certain sense dual to the defect spectrum, and the point spectrum dual to the compression spectrum.

Equality (g) implies, in particular, that $\sigma(T, X) = \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$ if X is a Hilbert space and T is normal. Roughly speaking, this shows that normal (in particular, self-adjoint) operators on Hilbert spaces are most similar to matrices in finite dimensional spaces (see [7]).

2.3. Goldberg's classification of spectrum

If X is a Banach space and $T \in B(X)$, then there are three possibilities for $R(T)$:

- (I) $R(T) = X$;
- (II) $R(T) \neq \overline{R(T)} = X$;
- (III) $\overline{R(T)} \neq X$;

and

- (1) T^{-1} exists and is continuous,
- (2) T^{-1} exists but is discontinuous,
- (3) T^{-1} does not exist.

If these possibilities are combined in all possible ways, nine different states are created. These are labelled by: $I_1, I_2, I_3, II_1, II_2, II_3, III_1, III_2, III_3$. If an operator is e.g. in state III_2 , then $\overline{R(T)} \neq X$ and T^{-1} exists but is discontinuous (see [17]).




Table 1: State diagram for $B(X)$ and $B(X^*)$ for a non-reflective Banach space X

If λ is a complex number such that $T_\lambda = \lambda I - T \in I_1$ or $T_\lambda = \lambda I - T \in II_1$, then $\lambda \in \rho(T, X)$. All scalar values of λ not in $\rho(T, X)$ comprise the spectrum of T . Further classification of $\sigma(T, X)$ gives rise to the fine spectrum of T . That is, $\sigma(T, X)$ can be divided into subsets $I_2\sigma(T, X) = \emptyset$, $I_3\sigma(T, X)$, $II_2\sigma(T, X)$, $III_3\sigma(T, X)$,

$III_1\sigma(T, X)$, $III_2\sigma(T, X)$, $III_3\sigma(T, X)$. For example, if $T_\lambda = \lambda I - T$ is in a given state, say III_2 , then we write $\lambda \in III_2\sigma(T, X)$.

By the definitions given above, we can illustrate subdivisions (2) in the following table:

		1	2	3
		T_λ^{-1} exists and is bounded	T_λ^{-1} exists and is unbounded	T_λ^{-1} does not exist
I	$R(\lambda I - T) = X$	$\lambda \in \rho(T, X)$	–	$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$
II	$\overline{R(\lambda I - T)} = X$	$\lambda \in \rho(T, X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_c(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_\delta(T, X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_\delta(T, X)$
III	$\overline{R(\lambda I - T)} \neq X$	$\lambda \in \sigma_r(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_\delta(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{co}(T, X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_r(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_\delta(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{co}(T, X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_\delta(T, X)$ $\lambda \in \sigma_{co}(T, X)$

Table 2: Subdivisions of spectrum of a linear operator

Observe that the case in the first row and second column cannot occur in a Banach space X , by the closed graph theorem. If we are not in the third column, i.e., if λ is not an eigenvalue of T , we may always consider the resolvent operator T_λ^{-1} (on a possibly “thin” domain of definition) as an “algebraic” inverse of $\lambda I - T$.

By a *sequence space*, we understand a linear subspace of the space $\omega = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_1}$ of all complex sequences which contains ϕ , the set of all finitely non-zero sequences, where $\mathbb{N}_1$ denotes the set of positive integers. We write ℓ_∞ , c , c_0 and bv for the spaces of all bounded, convergent, null and bounded variation sequences which are the Banach spaces with the sup-norm $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|$ and $\|x\|_{bv} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k - x_{k+1}|$, while ϕ is not a Banach space with respect to any norm, respectively. Also, by ℓ_p we denote the space of all p -absolutely summable sequences which is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^p)^{1/p}$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We give a short survey concerning the spectrum and the fine spectrum of linear operators defined by some particular limitation matrices over certain sequence spaces. The fine spectrum of the Cesàro operator of order one on the sequence space ℓ_p has been studied by González [18], where $1 < p < \infty$. Also, the spectrum of the weighted mean matrices of operators on ℓ_p have been investigated by Cartlidge [12]. The spectrum of the Cesàro operator of order one on the sequence spaces bv_0 and bv has also been investigated by Okutoyi [24, 25]. The fine spectrum of the difference operator Δ over the sequence spaces c_0 and c has been studied by Altay and Başar [3]. The same authors have studied the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator $B(r, s)$ over c_0 and c , in [4]. The fine spectra of Δ over ℓ_1 and bv have been studied by Kayaduman and Furkan [21]. Recently, the fine spectra of the difference operator Δ over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bv_p have been studied by Akhmedov and Başar [1, 2], where bv_p is the space of p -bounded variation sequences and introduced

by Başar and Altay [8], and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Also, the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator $B(r, s)$ over the sequence spaces ℓ_1 and bv has been studied by Furkan et al. [16]. Recently, the fine spectrum of $B(r, s, t)$ over the sequence spaces c_0 and c has been studied by Furkan et al. [14]. Quite recently, de Malafosse [23]; Altay and Başar [8] have respectively studied the spectrum and the fine spectrum of the difference operator on the sequence spaces s_r and c_0, c ; where s_r denotes the Banach space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ normed by $\|x\|_{s_r} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|x_k|}{r^k}$, ($r > 0$). Durna and Yildirim [13] have examined the subdivision of the spectrum of factorable matrices on the sequence spaces c and ℓ^p . Altay and Karakuş [5] have determined the fine spectrum of the Zweier matrix which is a band matrix as an operator over the sequence spaces ℓ_1 and bv . Also, the fine spectrum of the same operator over ℓ_1 and bv has been studied by Bilgiç and Furkan [11]. More recently, the fine spectrum of the operator $B(r, s)$ over ℓ_p and bv_p has been studied by Bilgiç and Furkan [10]. The fine spectra of lacunary matrices have been studied by Altun and Karakaya [6]. In 2010, Srivastava and Kumar [26] determined the spectra and the fine spectra of generalized difference operator Δ_ν on ℓ_1 , where Δ_ν is defined by $(\Delta_\nu)_{nn} = \nu_n$ and $(\Delta_\nu)_{n+1,n} = -\nu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, under certain conditions on the sequence $\nu = (\nu_k)$. Finally, the fine spectrum with respect to the Goldberg's classification of the operator $B(r, s, t)$ defined by a triple band matrix over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bv_p with $1 < p < \infty$ has been studied by Furkan et al. [15]. Quite recently, the fine spectra of the operator $U(s, r, s)$ defined by symmetric triple band matrix over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bv_p has been determined by Karakaya et al. [20].

In this paper, we study the fine spectrum of the matrix operator Δ^+ defined by an upper triangle double band matrix acting on the sequence space c_0 with respect to the Goldberg's classification. Additionally, we give the approximate point spectrum, defect spectrum and compression spectrum of the matrix operator Δ^+ on the space c_0 .

Now, we may quote the following lemmas which are needed in proving the next theorems:

Lemma 1 ([27, Theorem 1.3.6, p. 6]). *The matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ gives raise to a bounded linear operator $T \in B(c_0)$ from c_0 to itself if and only if the following statements hold:*

1. *The rows of A are in ℓ_1 and their ℓ_1 norms are bounded.*
2. *The columns of A are in c_0 .*

The operator norm of T is the supremum of ℓ_1 norms of the rows.

Lemma 2 ([17, p. 59]). *T has a dense range if and only if T^* is one to one, where T^* denotes the adjoint operator of the operator T .*

Lemma 3 ([21, Theorem 2.6]). $\sigma_p(\Delta, c_0) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 4 ([3, Theorem 2.6]). $\sigma_c(\Delta, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| = 1\}$.

3. The spectrum and the fine spectrum of the upper triangle double band matrix Δ^+ on the sequence space c_0

In this section, we study the spectrum and the fine spectrum of the operator represented by the upper triangle double band matrix Δ^+ on the sequence space c_0 . The operator Δ^+ is represented by the matrix

$$\Delta^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

First, we give the following theorem which presents the null space $\mathcal{N}(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)$ of the operator $\Delta^+ - \lambda I$ on the sequence space c_0 .

Theorem 1. *Let $|\lambda - 1| \geq 1$. Then, the null space $\mathcal{N}(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)$ of the operator $\Delta^+ - \lambda I$ on the sequence space c_0 is $\{\theta\}$.*

Proof. By solving the system of linear equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_0 - x_1 &= \lambda x_0 \\ x_1 - x_2 &= \lambda x_1 \\ x_2 - x_3 &= \lambda x_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \right\}$$

we obtain that

$$x_n = (1 - \lambda)^{n-n_0} x_{n_0}, \quad (n > n_0)$$

for $x_{n_0} \neq 0$ which leads us to the fact that

$$\mathcal{N}(\Delta^+ - \lambda I) = \{x = (x_n) \in c_0 : x_n = (1 - \lambda)^{n-n_0} x_{n_0}, (n > n_0)\}.$$

Therefore, it is clear that the null space $\mathcal{N}(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)$ of the operator $\Delta^+ - \lambda I$ is $\{\theta\}$ for $|\lambda - 1| \geq 1$, as asserted. $\square$

Theorem 2. $\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\}$.

Proof. Define $D = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| > 1\}$. It is enough to prove that $(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists and is in $(c_0 : c_0)$ for $\lambda \in D$ and $(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)^{-1} \notin (c_0 : c_0)$ for $\lambda \notin D$. Let $\lambda \in D$. Since $\Delta^+ - \lambda I$ is triangle, so $(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists and solving $(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)x = y$ for x in terms of y gives the matrix of $B = (b_{nk})$ of the equation $x = By$. Therefore the matrix $B = (b_{nk})$ is given by

$$b_{nk} = \begin{cases} (1 - \lambda)^{n-k-1}, & k \geq n, \\ 0, & k < n. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{(c_0:c_0)} &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{|1 - \lambda|^n}{|1 - \lambda|^{k+1}} \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1 - \lambda|^{k+1}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This shows that $(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)^{-1} \in (c_0 : c_0)$. Also from (5) we can show that

$$\|(\Delta^+ - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{(c_0:c_0)} = \infty$$

for $\lambda \notin D$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 3. $\sigma_p(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| < 1\}$.

Proof. Suppose $\Delta^+ x = \lambda x$ for $x \neq \theta = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$ in c_0 . Then, by solving the system of linear equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_0 - x_1 &= \lambda x_0 \\ x_1 - x_2 &= \lambda x_1 \\ x_2 - x_3 &= \lambda x_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \right\}$$

we can find that, if x_{n_0} is the first non-zero entry of the sequence $x = (x_n)$, then we have

$$x_n = (1 - \lambda)^{n-n_0} x_{n_0}, \quad (n > n_0).$$

Hence, we show that $|1 - \lambda| < 1$ if and only if $x \in c_0$. $\square$

Since the adjoint operator of matrix transformation on c_0 is a transpose of the matrix, then by Lemma 3 we have the following result:

Corollary 1. $\sigma_p(\Delta^{+*}, c_0^*) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4. $\sigma_c(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| = 1\}$.

Proof. From Theorem 3, $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(\Delta^+, c_0)$. Then $\lambda I - \Delta^+$ is one to one, and hence has an inverse. Also, by Corollary 1 $\lambda I - \Delta^{+*}$ is one to one and by Lemma 2 we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(\lambda I - \Delta^+)} = c_0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 5. $\sigma_r(\Delta^+, c_0) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since the set of the spectrum is a union of the point spectrum, the continuous spectrum and the residual spectrum, then from Theorems 2, 3 and 4 we observe that $\sigma_r(\Delta^+, c_0) = \emptyset$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 6. If $\lambda \neq 1$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Delta^+, c_0)$, then $\lambda \in II_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3 we have $\lambda I - \Delta^+ \in II_3 \cup I_3$. Now, we show that the operator $\lambda I - \Delta^+$ is not onto. For the sequence $y = \{(1 - \lambda)^n\} \in c_0$, since $x \in c_0$ is not present that supplies the equality $(\lambda I - \Delta^+)x = y$, so the transformation $\lambda I - \Delta$ is not onto which is what we wished to prove. $\square$

Theorem 7. $1 \in I_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0)$.

Proof. For $\lambda = 1$, the matrix $\lambda I - \Delta^+ = I - \Delta^+$ is

$$\lambda I - \Delta^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 3 it is clear that $I - \Delta^+ \in 3$.

To show $I - \Delta^+ \in I$, we show that the transformation $I - \Delta^+$ is onto. For $x, y \in c_0$, from the equation $(I - \Delta^+)x = y$, we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 = y_0 \\ x_2 = y_1 \\ x_3 = y_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\}$$

such that for every $y \in c_0$ there is a sequence $x \in c_0$. Then, this shows that the transformation $I - \Delta^+$ is onto. This step concludes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 8. If $\lambda \in \sigma_c(\Delta^+, c_0)$ then $\lambda I - \Delta^+ \in II_2\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0)$.

Proof. Let $|\lambda - 1| = 1$. By Theorem 3 the transformation $\lambda I - \Delta^+$ has an inverse, from Theorem 2 the transformation $(\lambda I - \Delta^+)^{-1}$ is discontinuous and by Theorem 4, since $\mathcal{R}(\lambda I - \Delta^+) = c_0$, we have

$$\lambda I - \Delta^+ \in I_2 \cup II_2\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0).$$

Also, from Theorem 6 we can obtain that the transformation $\lambda I - \Delta^+$ is not onto. This step completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 9. The following statements hold:

- (a) $\sigma_{ap}(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\}$;
- (b) $\sigma_\delta(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\} \setminus \{1\}$;
- (c) $\sigma_{co}(\Delta^+, c_0) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since the following equality

$$\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) = I_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) \cup II_2\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) \cup II_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0)$$

holds by Theorems 2-7, and the subdivisions in the Goldberg's classification are disjoint, then we must have

$$III_1\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) = III_2\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) = III_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) = \emptyset.$$

(a) $\sigma_{ap}(\Delta^+, c_0) = \sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) \setminus III_1\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0)$ is obtained from Table 2. Then, one can easily see that

$$\sigma_{ap}(\Delta^+, c_0) = \sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\},$$

as desired.

(b) Since the following equality

$$\sigma_\delta(\Delta^+, c_0) = \sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) \setminus I_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0)$$

holds from Table 2, we derive that $\sigma_\delta(\Delta^+, c_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\} \setminus \{1\}$.

(c) From Table 2,

$$\sigma_{co}(\Delta^+, c_0) = III_1\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) \cup III_2\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0) \cup III_3\sigma(\Delta^+, c_0),$$

then we have $\sigma_{co}(\Delta^+, c_0) = \emptyset$. □

The following corollary can be obtained by Proposition 1.

Corollary 2. *The following statements hold:*

- (a) $\sigma_{ap}((\Delta^+)^* = \Delta, c_0^* \cong \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\} \setminus \{1\};$
- (b) $\sigma_\delta((\Delta^+)^* = \Delta, c_0^* \cong \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1| \leq 1\};$
- (c) $\sigma_p((\Delta^+)^* = \Delta, c_0^* \cong \ell_1) = \emptyset$, see [21, Theorem 2.6].

4. Conclusion

Many researchers have determined the spectrum and the fine spectrum of a matrix operator in some sequence spaces. In addition to this, we add the definition of some new divisions of the spectrum called the approximate point spectrum, the defect spectrum and the compression spectrum of the matrix operator and give the related results for the matrix operator Δ^+ on the space c_0 which is a new development for this type works giving the fine spectrum of a matrix operator on a sequence space with respect to the Goldberg's classification.

We should note here that although the continuous dual of the sequence spaces c_0 and c is the space ℓ_1 the adjoint of the matrix operator Δ^+ on the spaces c_0 and c are respectively defined by

$$(\Delta^+)^* = \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta^+)^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, although the corresponding results of the present study coincides with the results of Başar et al. [9], the adjoint operators are different.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Mustafa Yıldırım, Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 58140 Sivas, Turkey, for his careful reading and making of some useful comments which improved the presentation of the paper. The authors extend their gratitude to the referees for their constructive criticism of an earlier version of this paper which improved its readability.

References

- [1] A. M. AKHMEDOV, F. BAŞAR, *On the spectra of the difference operator Δ over the sequence space ℓ_p* , Demonstratio Math. **39**(2006), 585–595.
- [2] A. M. AKHMEDOV, F. BAŞAR, *On the fine spectra of the difference operator Δ over the sequence space bv_p , ($1 \leq p < \infty$)*, Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Ser. **23**(2007), 1757–1768.
- [3] B. ALTAY, F. BAŞAR, *On the fine spectrum of the difference operator Δ on c_0 and c* , Inform. Sci. **168**(2004), 217–224.
- [4] B. ALTAY, F. BAŞAR, *On the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator $B(r, s)$ over the sequence spaces c_0 and c* , Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **18**(2005), 3005–3013.
- [5] B. ALTAY, M. KARAKUŞ, *On the spectrum and fine spectrum of the Zweier matrix as an operator on some sequence spaces*, Thai J. Math. **3**(2005), 153–162.
- [6] M. ALTUN, V. KARAKAYA, *Fine spectra of lacunary matrices*, Commun. Math. Anal. **7**(2009), 1–10.
- [7] J. APPELL, E. PASCALE, A. VIGNOLI, *Nonlinear Spectral Theory; de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 10*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.
- [8] F. BAŞAR, B. ALTAY, *On the space of sequences of p -bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, Ukrainian Math. J. **55**(2003), 136–147.
- [9] F. BAŞAR, N. DURNA, M. YILDIRIM, *Subdivisions of the spectra for difference operator over certain sequence spaces*, Malays. J. Math. Sci. **6**(2012), 151–165.
- [10] H. BILGİÇ, H. FURKAN, *On the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator $B(r, s)$ over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bv_p ($1 < p < \infty$)*, Nonlinear Anal. **68**(2008), 499–506.
- [11] H. BILGİÇ, H. FURKAN, *On the fine spectrum of the operator $B(r, s, t)$ over the sequence spaces ℓ_1 and bv* , Math. Comput. Modelling **45**(2007), 883–891.
- [12] P. J. CARTLIDGE, *Weighted mean matrices as operators on ℓ^p* , PhD Thesis, Indiana University, 1978.
- [13] N. DURNA, M. YILDIRIM, *Subdivision of the spectra for factorable matrices on c and ℓ^p* , Math. Commun. **16**(2011), 519–530.
- [14] H. FURKAN, H. BILGİÇ, B. ALTAY, *On the fine spectrum of the operator $B(r, s, t)$ over c_0 and c* , Comput. Math. Appl. **53**(2007), 989–998.
- [15] H. FURKAN, H. BILGİÇ, F. BAŞAR, *On the fine spectrum of the operator $B(r, s, t)$ over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bv_p , ($1 < p < \infty$)*, Comput. Math. Appl. **60**(2010), 2141–2152.
- [16] H. FURKAN, H. BILGİÇ, K. KAYADUMAN, *On the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator $B(r, s)$ over the sequence spaces ℓ_1 and bv* , Hokkaido Math. J. **35**(2006), 897–908.
- [17] S. GOLDBERG, *Unbounded Linear Operators*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1985.

- [18] M. GONZÁLEZ, *The fine spectrum of the Cesàro operator in ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$)*, Arch. Math. **44**(1985), 355–358.
- [19] V. KARAKAYA, M. ALTUN, *Fine spectra of upper triangular double-band matrices*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **234**(2010), 1387–1394.
- [20] V. KARAKAYA, M. DZH. MANAFOV, N. ŞİMŞEK, *On the fine spectrum of the second order difference operator over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bv_p* , Math. Comput. Modelling **55**(2012), 426–436.
- [21] K. KAYADUMAN, H. FURKAN, *The fine spectra of the difference operator Δ over the sequence spaces ℓ_1 and bv* , Int. Math. Forum **24**(2006), 1153–1160.
- [22] E. KREYSZIG, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, Brisbane, Toronto, 1978.
- [23] B. DE MALAFOSSE, *Properties of some sets of sequences and application to the spaces of bounded difference sequences of order μ* , Hokkaido Math. J. **31**(2002), 283–299.
- [24] J. I. OKUTOYI, *On the spectrum of C_1 as an operator on bv_0* , J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A. **48**(1990), 79–86.
- [25] J. I. OKUTOYI, *On the spectrum of C_1 as an operator on bv* , Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. **41**(1992), 197–207.
- [26] P. D. SRIVASTAVA, S. KUMAR, *Fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator Δ_ν on sequence space ℓ_1* , Thai J. Math. **8**(2010), 7–19.
- [27] A. WILANSKY, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Amsterdam, 1984.